VALIDATION OF THE NAME DAHLIA AUSTRALIS

Article 33, International Code of Botanical Nomenclature, 1966, states, "A new combination . . . is not validly published unless its basionym . . . is clearly indicated and a full and direct reference given to its author and original publication. . . ." Compliance with this article has proved useful in the elimination of errors and confusion. On page 378 of Rhodora 71 (No. 787) I proposed the new combination Dahlia australis failing to make reference to its basionym until two pages hence where it is given under the heading of Dahlia australis var. australis. Mr. Robert M. King noted this and suggested to me such an arrangement might not be in compliance with the code. I agreed that, in the manner I had presented it, the basionym was not "clearly indicated." In an effort to correct this omission, thereby avoiding further confusion and perhaps ultimate invalidation of the name, I have repeated here the essential nomenclatural details of Dahlia australis.

Dahlia australis (Sherff) Sorensen, comb. & stat. nov. TYPE: MEXICO: OAXACA: Cerro de San Felipe, 2500 m., 1 Sep. 1897. Conzatti & Gonzalez 543 (Holotype: GH!).

Basionym: Dahlia scapigera var. australis et f. australis Sherff, Am. Jour. Bot. 34: 143. 1947. Type: that of Dahlia australis as indicated above.

For purposes of possible future competition in consideration of the rule of priority (Article 11, 1.c.), the date of publication of the name *Dahlia australis* is the publication date of this correction. (For additional notes and descriptions of *D. australis* and its infraspecific taxa, see the full treatment in Rhodora 71: 378 ff.)

PAUL D. SORENSEN
THE ARNOLD ARBORETUM
HARVARD UNIVERSITY
JAMAICA PLAIN, MASSACHUSETTS 02130